

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,985	07/13/2001	Lilly Mae Vega	BIDF.0001 2247		
22885 MCKEE VOC	7590 12/26/2006 NDHEES & SEASE DI C		EXAMINER		
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE			SHERR, CRISTINA O		
SUITE 3200 DES MOINES	, IA 50309-2721		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3621		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/903,985	VEGA, LILLY MAE
Examiner	Art Unit
Cristina Owen Sherr	3621

	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	•	•	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS. IN The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a November 200 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be  appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by rejections have not been overcome.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		KAMBIZ ABI PRIMARY EXAM	
aistiva Counthers	·	(In)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: claims 7,8 are allowable if rewritten in independent form as discussed in the phone interview.

KAMBIZ ABDI PRIMARY EXAMINER